## Fiscal Estimate - 2015 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected	Supplemental		
LRB Number 15-1497/1	Introduction Number	per <b>AB-0973</b>		
<b>Description</b> Classifying county jailers, detention officers, an under the Wisconsin Retirement System	d correctional officers as protec	ctive occupation participants		
Fiscal Effect				
Appropriations Rev	rease Existing to absenues	ase Costs - May be possible sorb within agency's budget  Yes ase Costs		
Permissive Mandatory Perr 2. Decrease Costs 4. Dec	ease Revenue  missive Mandatory  rease Revenue  missive Mandatory  Mandatory	of Local riment Units ed owns Village Cities ounties Others chool WTCS istricts		
Fund Sources Affected  Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR PRO PRO SEG SEGS				
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date		
ETF/ Tarna Hunter (608) 267-0908	Pam Henning (608) 267-2929	3/14/2016		

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives ETF 3/14/2016

LRB Number 15-1497/1	Introduction Number	AB-0973	Estimate Type	Original	
Description					
Classifying county jailers, detention officers, and correctional officers as protective occupation participants under the Wisconsin Retirement System					

## **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

The bill permits counties to classify county jailers, detention officers, and correctional officers eligible as protective occupation participants under the WRS without a requirement that their principal duties involve active law enforcement.

Many of the administrative aspects of the bill would be handled by the counties, such as determining what county jailer is or isn't a protective occupation employee for Wisconsin Retirement System (WRS) purposes. Under the bill, the employer would report the employee as a protective occupation employee or general employee to the Department of Employee Trust Funds (ETF) based on the county's determination. Generally, this process is similar to the current process used by ETF. In addition, ETF may need to modify forms, publications, procedures and training material to reflect the law change.

An actuarial analysis would need to be conducted to determine the extent of adverse selection and the potential fiscal effect of this change on the program.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications